IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

FRIDAY, THE 7TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 16TH BHADRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1186 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.35168/2004 Dated 09/02/2007

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WPC :

M.B.RADHABAI AMMA,
(W/O LATE SUKUMARA PILLAI, MANAGER (ACCOUNTS),
CHIEF OFFICE, RTD. ON 30-04-1997 & EXPIRED ON
15-10-1998), JAYA NIVAS, KUZHIAM, CHANDANATHOPE PO
KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.RAJEEVAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT IN THE WPC :

SEN KUMAR, IPS, (AGE AND FATHER'S
NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
MANAGING DIRECTOR, K.S.R.T.C., TRANSPORT BHAVAN,
FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.JOHNSON P. JOHN, SC, KSRTC.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

C.C.C No. 1186 OF 2007

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

Sri.K.P.Rajeevan, counsel for the petitioner submits that it is only on yesterday at 3.30 PM that a cheque for Rs.1,12,646/- was paid to the petitioner. The amount is low. The cheque has been drawn in favour of one Smt.B.Radhabhai Amma and not in favour of the petitioner who is Smt.M.B.Radhabhai Amma. According to the petitioner, difficulties are sure to arise in the matter of realising the proceeds of the cheque. Considering the fact that a cheque for Rs.1,12,646 though very belatedly has been paid to the petitioner, I close the Contempt Case. The petitioner is permitted to approach this court by filing an interlocutory application, if any difficulty is experienced in realising the proceeds of the cheque, arraying the banker as well as the KSRTC as parties in the IA. It is also made clear that the petitioner will also have the liberty of challenging the correctness of the amount presently paid.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt